



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,292	04/10/2001	Alexey Ryazanov	601-1-098CIP	8327
23565 7	11/26/2004		EXAMINER	
KLAUBER & JACKSON			HUTSON, RICHARD G	
411 HACKENSACK AVENUE HACKENSACK, NJ 07601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MACKENSAC	AL, 143 07001		1652	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
6	09/832,292	RYAZANOV, ALEXEY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard G. Hutson	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 September 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
 4) Claim(s) 4,5 and 14-17 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 and 14-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or. 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No /ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	• •				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants amendment of claims 4, 5, 14 and 16, in the paper of 9/3/2004, is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 4, 5 and 14-17 are at issue and are present for examination.

Applicants' arguments filed on 9/3/2004, have been fully considered and are deemed to be persuasive to overcome some of the rejections previously applied. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn.

Priority

The granting of applicants claim of priority for the DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 34 to the instant application, filed 4/10/2001, remains proper, as there is no support for this sequence in application Serial No. 09/623,131.

Applicants comments in the paper filed 9/3/2004 regarding the above claim of priority are acknowledged in full, however, not found persuasive. As stated previously and above, priority for the DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 34 is granted only to the instant application, filed 4/10/2001. Applicants arguments regarding this issue appear to be directed to the issue of enablement. The examiner is not questioning whether or not "the skilled artisan could readily and without undue experimentation isolate and determine the remaining nucleic acid and polypeptide sequence of the human heart alpha kinase, particularly utilizing the human heart alpha kinase cDNA in possession of the inventors at the time of filing of the '131 application", but rather the examiner is

Art Unit: 1652

pointing out that applicants do not have support for the sequence of SEQ ID NO: 34 in the '131 application.

Claim Objections

Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 5 is dependent on rejected claim 4.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This rejection was stated in the previous office action as it previously applied to previous claims 4 and 14-17. In response to this rejection, applicants have amended claims 4, 14 and 16 and argue this rejection as it applies to the amended claims.

Art Unit: 1652

Within applicants traversal of the current rejection, applicants point out that the terms "naturally occurring" are not present or cited in the current claim language of claims 4 and 14-17. Applicants further acknowledge and agree that the claimed genus encompasses naturally occurring allelic variants of nucleic acids that encode SEQ ID NO: 35, but is not limited solely to those sequences. Applicants submit that applicants characterize and claim the named heart alpha kinase by its sequence, its natural expression characteristics and by its alpha kinase activity and that each of these characteristics are clear and readily determinable and testable by the skilled artisan. Applicants argument has been considered in full, however, found non-persuasive. The rejection is maintained on the basis that in addition to the above pointed out characteristics, applicants claims further stipulate that the encoded alpha kinase be a "mammalian" alpha kinase and that while the claim does not recite "naturally occurring", the claimed mammalian alpha kinases are a subset of naturally occurring alpha kinases. Thus while the claims do not specifically recite naturally occurring, they are drawn to the naturally occurring subgenus of mammalian alpha kinases and subject to any issues associated with such claims.

Thus as previously stated, in the instant specification, a single nucleic acid encoding an alpha kinase is fully described in the form of SEQ ID NO:34, wherein the nucleic acid encodes a protein having alpha kinase activity. This description also adequately describes a genus, within the sequence identity (hybridization) limitations of the instant claims, of nucleic acids encoding proteins having this particular function. Those sequences that are "mammalian" or "naturally occurring" are a subset of this

Art Unit: 1652

genus. The specification does not adequately describe this subset according to its structure so that one of skill in the art would be able to predict naturally occurring sequences, particularly in view of the larger genus that includes both naturally and "manufactured" sequences. Therefore, the instant claims are not adequately described.

Applicant is referred to the revised guidelines concerning compliance with the written description requirement of U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, published in the Official Gazette and also available at www.uspto.gov.

Claims 4 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a host cell transformed with a DNA molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 34, does not reasonably provide enablement for any host cell transformed with any DNA sequences which hybridizes under standard conditions to the DNA sequence of SEQ ID NO: 34. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

This rejection was stated in the previous office action as it previously applied to previous claims 4 and 14-17. In response to this rejection, applicants have amended claims 4, 14 and 16 and argue this rejection as it applies to the amended claims.

Applicants traverse this rejection on the basis that applicants submit that applicants specification clearly enables the skilled artisan to make and/or use the host cells as claimed on the basis that the isolation of hybridizing sequences is straightforward and readily performed by the skilled artisan, and that while some

Art Unit: 1652

experimentation is necessary, such is not undue experimentation. Applicants submit that using the described SEQ ID NO: 34, the skilled artisan could readily isolate, make and test other members of the genus of sequences hybridizing thereto under standard conditions.

Applicants argument has been considered in full, however found nonpersuasive, on the following basis. As previously stated, the instant specification teaches SEQ ID NO:35, an alpha kinase expressed in the mammalian heart, and SEQ ID NO:34 encoding SEQ ID NO:35. The art includes few examples of mammalian alpha kinases expressed in the heart. The art fully enables any DNA encoding SEQ ID NO:35, based on the degeneracy of the genetic code. While the instant specification describes and enables means for identifying other nucleic acids encoding mammalian alpha kinases expressed in the heart using hybridization methods, etc., these methods do not enable one of skill in the art to make all, or a relevant portion of, the nucleic acids within the scope of the claims because the ability to find a nucleic acid which encodes a mammalian alpha kinase which is expressed in the heart, which is structurally related to SEQ ID NO:34, is not equivalent to the ability to make the claimed genus of nucleic acids as required by the statute (i.e., "make and use"). No description in the specification or the art provides particular residues whose encoding is important within the disclosed sequence so that its alpha kinase and heart expression is maintained. Thus, one of skill in the art would be unable to predict the structure of the other members of the genus in order to make such members. Therefore, the instant claims are not enabled to the full extent of their scope.

Art Unit: 1652

While applicants point out various portions of the specification, which help enable the claims, applicants have not addressed the above issue (i.e. particular residues whose encoding is important within the disclosed sequence so that its alpha kinase and heart expression is maintained).

The scope of the claims must bear a reasonable correlation with the scope of enablement (In re Fisher, 166 USPQ 19 24 (CCPA 1970)). Without sufficient guidance, determination of those nucleic acid molecules having the desired biological characteristics is unpredictable and the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is unnecessarily, and improperly, extensive and undue. See In re Wands 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir, 1988).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard G Hutson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0066. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:00 pm, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Richard G Hutson, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1652

rgh 11/22/2004